

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/941,500	08/29/2001	Thomas John Nelson	P1062P2P1	7274	
25312	7590 11/19/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	ART INTERNATIONA	DORSEY, DENNIS			
C/O WELSH & FLAXMAN, LLC 2341 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 112			3637		
ARLINGTON, VA 22202			DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•		09/941,500	THOMAS JOHN NELSON	1			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Dennis L Dorsey	3637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, ma within the statutory minimum o ill apply and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to becon	y a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.			
1) <u> </u>	Posponsive to communication(s) filed on 10 A	lovambar 2002					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>10 N</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Thi						
·	·	s action is non-final.					
ا ا(د	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
	Claim(s) 55-58 and 63-82 is/are pending in the	• •					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>55-58 and 63-82</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
_	on Papers						
·	The specification is objected to by the Examiner		andha Francisca				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>09 July 2002</u> is: a)⊠ approved b)□ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
		priority under 35 LLS	C & 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
/-	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
* S	application from the International Bur see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	)).				
14)□ A	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment	t(s)						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<b>-</b> ·			

Application/Control Number: 09/941,500 Page 2

Art Unit: 3637

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 31, 2003 has been entered.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on May 6, 2003, July 31, 2003; and August 22, 2003 was considered by the Examiner.

#### **Drawings**

3. New corrected drawings are required in this application because Examiner has approved the proposed drawings of Figure 19. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/941,500 Page 3

Art Unit: 3637

5. Claims 70-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 6. Claims 70-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: it's impossible for one recess to be formed between the projection and two protrusions when the protrusions are located on opposite sides of the projection.
- 7. Claim 81 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: the tapered surface extending from a center of the base is impossible if the protrusion is spaced from the projection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 55-58 and 63-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hoffmann et al. Patent Number 3,731,445.

Application/Control Number: 09/941,500

Art Unit: 3637

Hoffmann '445 teaches all the limitations of the above claims including a connector (see Examiner's Exhibit), a base (A), a projection (B), identical extensions with at least one angled portion obliquely sloped (C, D), protrusions (E, F) with tapered surfaces (G, H), first and second recesses (I, J), and the connector is an elongated

Page 4

Response to Arguments

track (see Figure 9) with projection, protrusion extending the entire length.

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot

in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis L Dorsey whose telephone number is 703-306-

9137. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00 am-5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1020.

DLD(M)

LANNA MAI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Lamaman